



**CITY OF CORONA
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT**

ADDENDUM to REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

RFP: FOR GEOTECHNICAL, MATERIALS TESTING AND SOURCE INSPECTION SERVICES
FOR THE CAJALCO/I-15 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT - SECTION I

Addendum: #1

Addendum Issue Date: September 25, 2017

Closing Date: September 27, 2017

This Addendum is intended to provide clarification in the above referenced RFP. Any information contained herein will be considered part of the RFP and as such will be used in the evaluation of the proposals. If you have already submitted your proposal, please review this addendum and re-submit your response should this addendum modify your proposal.

ADDENDUM DESCRIPTION
<p>Multiple questions have been received from consultants. The following answers are provided to all consultants.</p> <p>Question #1: Will the City consider professional liability limits in the amount of \$2,000,000 per occurrence / \$2,000,000 aggregate for this project?</p> <p>Answer #1: No. Insurance requirements shall remain as detailed in RFP.</p> <p>Question #2: Will the City consider removing pollution liability insurance as a requirement for this project? This type of coverage is not appropriate for our services and we do not carry it.</p> <p>Answer #2: No.</p>

ADDENDUM DESCRIPTION (CONT'D)

Question #3: Will the City consider the following additional language (in red) to Section 3.2.10.13 of the Professional Services Agreement: 3.2.10.13 Special Risk or Circumstances. The City reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to modify the requirements of this Section 3.2.10, including limits, based on any of the following: (A) the nature of the risk of the Services; (B) the prior experience of the insured; (C) the rating or other quality or characteristic of the insurer; (D) any special or unique coverage issues; and (E) any other special or unique circumstances; each modification to occur at extra expense to City unless within coverages and limits currently carried by consultant.

Answer #3: No.
Question #4: In regards to Section 3.2.10.12 of the Professional Services Agreement, will the City consider decreasing the insurance limits of subconsultants? In the case of small business subconsultants, for example, \$2,000,000 per occurrence / \$4,000,000 aggregate professional liability limits may be unattainable.

Answer #4: No.

Question #5: Would the city consider three (3) similar projects within the last five (5) years providing the same or similar services requested in the RFP?

Answer #5: Yes, but a higher score will be given to projects within the last three (3) years.

Question #6: Would the city permit us to present resumes in the appendices of all proposed project staff? (ref. item 4 a. "...proposed PROJECT staff")

Answer #6: Yes.

Question #7: Will copies of our certificates for all project staff count against the page limit as requested in item 4.a? or can we provide these Certificate of Proficiencies in the appendix?

Answer #7: Certificates and resumes are to be included in the appendix and will not be included in the page count.

ADDENDUM DESCRIPTION (CONT'D)

Question #8: Will the Letter of Transmittal count against the page limit?

Answer #8: No.

Question #9: Please clarify if items 11 and 12, Insurance and Licensing and Certification Requirements that follow item 10. Appendices are sections that will be part of the appendix and excluded from the page count.

Answer #9: See Question #7.

Question #10: Regarding the Schedule of Performance (Minimum test table):

Question #10A: CT382 is listed twice, is the total number of CT382 tests 17 or 34?

Answer #10A: 17 CT 382 tests will be required. The item "Asphalt Content by Extraction, CT 382" is hereby removed.

Question 10B: CT 370 Moisture Content of Bituminous Mixtures or Graded Mineral Aggregate Using Microwave Ovens and Mix Moisture Content are similar, and both are listed as 17 tests. Is it 17 tests or 34 total?

Answer #10B: The item "Mix Moisture Content" is hereby removed.

Question 10C: There are many tests listed with no Caltrans, ASTM, or AASHTO method should we just assume a test method or can you supply one?

Answer #10C: Assume applicable Caltrans test methods.

Question 10D: Settlement monitoring data analysis. Settlement data from what? Piles, embankment, abutments?

Answer #10D: Any and all applicable settlement data for this project.

Question #11: Would the City be willing to change the double-spacing requirement to give more room to fit all the content requirements into this proposal? Or would the City be willing to add pages to the 25-page limit?

Answer #11: No.

ADDENDUM DESCRIPTION (CONT'D)

Question #12: Would the City be willing to give a short time extension to allow firms a little more time to make any edits per the answers in any addenda that come out on Monday the 25th?

Answer #12: No time extensions will be given.

Question #13: We are assuming that the cover and table of contents will not be counted in the 25 page limit. Is that correct?

Answer #13: Yes.

Question #14: We wanted clarification on page 3 or 3 of Section IV. Scope of Work. The testing list includes CT379. We wanted to make sure that was the actual test that was needed and not CT 375.

Answer #14: CT 375 will not be used. CT 379 is to be used.

Question #15: The RFP states under the Transmittal Letter on page 2 of 2, that the consultant must include a statement that the proposal shall remain in valid for a period of not less than 120 days from the date of submittal, but in the Price Form, it states that the offer shall remain firm for 90 days from the RFP close date. Which is the correct number?

Answer #15: 120 days.

Question #16: Under Fee Proposal on page 4 of 4, the RFP states that unless discount payment terms are offered, payment terms will be "Net 30 Days." But in the Price form, the RFP states that "unless otherwise stated, payment terms are Net 45 days." Which is the correct payment term?

Answer #16: Net 30 days.

ADDENDUM DESCRIPTION (CONT'D)

Question #17: In reviewing the RFP, I had several questions regarding what is included in the page limit. If there is any way to receive an answer to these questions as soon as possible, I would appreciate it. This will greatly affect how the submittal is put together and how all the required information can be fit into the required format, and the two days between when answers to questions will be released and the submittal will be due will not be sufficient time in which to make these types of adjustments.

Answer #17: Please follow the page limit instructions.

Question #18: Will the required forms in the RFP be included in the 25-page limit?

Answer #18: No. Please see Question #7.

Question #19: Will the lab and key personnel certifications be included in the 25-page limit? The Caltrans technician certification pages are each a full page, as are most of the laboratory certifications, so those by themselves would take up a good deal of the page limit.

Answer #19: No.

Question #20: Is there a construction schedule available?

Answer #20: No, but assume a 25-month construction duration, starting Oct 2017.

Question #21: Which form are we to use to present our labor cost?

Answer #21: No standardized form is available. Each consultant is encouraged to use their most detailed and accurate form.

Question #22: We provided soils/material testing for all interchange projects as a sub consultant to the Prime Construction Management Firm. We hardly had any interaction with the owner of the projects. For that reason, CM firms will be a better/appropriate reference related to our services than the public agencies. Besides public agencies, can we list representatives from CM firms as references?

Answer #22: Yes.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED